Estarão os Doentes com Fibrilhação Auricular Correctamente Anticoagulados? Um Retrato de um Hospital Português do Interior

Are Patients with Atrial Fibrillation Correctly Anticoagulated? A Snapshot from a Portuguese Hospital on the Countryside

Joana C F Lima¹ (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5472-5037), João Pedro Aguiar^{2,3} (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5799-3088), Margarida Paixão-Ferreira¹ (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9608-555X), Rita Calixto¹ (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0724-0843), Vera Cesário¹ (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4078-3604), Filipa Alves da Costa^{2,3} (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4031-3859), José Vaz¹ (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3281-0206)

Resumo:

Introdução: Os anticoagulantes orais (ACOs) demonstraram reduzir o risco de acidente vascular cerebral isquémico em doentes com fibrilhação auricular (FA). Contudo, a proporção de doentes que não está anticoagulada e tem indicação para estar é ainda elevada. O nosso objectivo é avaliar a proporção de doentes com FA que estão sob anticoagulação e avaliar a qualidade da prescrição de ACOs.

Material e Métodos: Foi realizado um estudo observacional retrospectivo no Serviço de Medicina de um hospital do Alentejo (01-04/2019). Foram incluídos doentes com \geq 18 anos, com história prévia de FA não-valvular e com CHA₂DS₂. -VASc \geq 2 (homens) ou \geq 3 (mulheres). Extraiu-se o número de doentes que estava sob ACO e o número de doentes correctamente anticoagulado. Realizou-se análise estatística uni e bi-variada (IBM SPSS v.27.0).

Resultados: Foram incluídos 203 doentes: 51,7% (n = 105) eram mulheres e a idade média foi 80,2 ± 9,4 anos. Cerca de 40% (n = 82) dos doentes não estavam sob ACO. Cinquenta e sete porcento (n = 20) dos doentes que tinham história prévia de eventos cerebrovasculares não estavam sob ACOs. Um quarto da amostra (n = 31) estava incorrectamente anticoagulada. Os doentes sob ACOs tendiam a ser mais novos e estar medicados um maior número de fármacos (p = 0,001 e p = 0,027, respectivamente).

Conclusão: Os resultados sugerem que uma elevada proporção de doentes elegíveis para ACOs não estava medicada. Dos doentes medicados, um quarto estava incorrectamente anticoagulado. De forma a melhorar o padrão de prescrição, poder-se-ia considerar a optimização da formação contínua aos profissionais de saúde e o desenvolvimento de novos indicadores relativos à performance do sistema de saúde.

Palavras-chave: Anticoagulantes; Fibrilhação Auricular/

²Instituto de Investigação do Medicamento (iMED.ULisboa), Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal. ³Centro de Investigação Interdisciplinar Egas Moniz (CiiEM), Instituto Universitário Egas Moniz (IUEM), Lisboa, Portugal.

DOI: 10.24950/rspmi.o.98.4.2021

tratamento farmacológico; Idoso; Prescrição de Medicamentos

Abstract:

Introduction: Oral anticoagulants (OACs) have proven to minimize the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF). However, the proportion of patients that are not on OACs and have indication to be is still high. Our aim was to evaluate the proportion of AF patients receiving anticoagulation therapy and to evaluate the quality of OACs prescribing.

Material and Methods: A cross-sectional study was undertaken in the Internal Medicine Department of a hospital from Alentejo (01-04/2019). Patients were included if they were aged ≥ 18 , had previous history of non-valvular AF and presented a CHA₂DS₂-VASc ≥ 2 (male) or ≥ 3 (female). Both the number of patients that were using OACs and the number of patients that were correctly anticoagulated were extracted. Data analysis was performed using uni- and bivariate statistics (IBM SPSS v.20.0).

Results: A total of 203 patients were included, where 51.7% (n = 105) were female with a mean age of 80.2 ± 9.4 years old. Around 40% (n = 82) of those patients were not on OAC. Fifty-seven percent (n = 20) of patients that had previous history of cerebrovascular events were not on OACs. A quarter of the sample (n = 31) were incorrectly anticoagulated. Patients on OACs tended to be younger and with a higher number of concurrent medication (p = 0.001 and p = 0.027, respectively).

Conclusion: Data suggest a high proportion of patients eligible for OACs are not receiving treatment. Moreover, among those treated, a quarter was incorrectly anticoagulated. The institution of compulsory continuous professional development or health-system performance indicators should be considered to improve the prescribing pattern.

Keywords: Aged; Anticoagulants; Atrial Fibrillation/drug therapy; Drug Prescriptions.

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a common form of arrhythmia and is one of the leading causes of stroke, heart failure,

¹Serviço de Medicina Interna, Hospital José Joaquim Fernandes, Beja, Portugal.

cardiovascular morbidity, and sudden death worldwide. The estimated prevalence of AF was between 2% and 4% worldwide.¹ In Portugal, the prevalence of this condition among patients aged 40 or older was 2.5%, increasing to 9.0% in individuals aged 65 or older.¹⁻⁵ The number of patients with AF has been rising and it is estimated that, in 2030, 14 to 17 millions of patients globally will have AF, with 120 000-215 000 being newly diagnosed every year.^{6,7}

AF is frequently associated with structural heart disease and other comorbidities (e.g. arterial hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, heart failure, and diabetes). AF has a significant morbidity and is independently associated with increased risk of all-cause mortality as a result of hemodynamic abnormalities, thromboembolic events (about 20%-30% of ischemic strokes are due to AF), and frequent hospitalizations.^{6,7} AF, even if symptomatic or asymptomatic and regardless of its pattern, is associated with a significantly risk of ischemic stroke (the risk of stroke is 5 times greater in non-valvular AF and 20 times greater in AF associated with mitral stenosis).^{6,7}

Oral anticoagulation (OAC) with vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) or non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) decreases the risk of stroke in patients with AF and consequently their morbidity and mortality.⁶⁻⁸ Over the last years, the prescription of NOACs has increased over VKAs and, within the NOACs, rivaroxaban is the most prescribed drug.^{9,10}

A systematic review with meta-analysis of observational studies of Portuguese patients reported a prevalence of anticoagulation in AF patients eligible for OAC of 40%.¹¹ Two United Kingdom (UK) studies undertaken in general practice reported that 50.7%-54.7% of AF patients were on OAC.^{12,13} Most literature, however, seems to focus on the presence or omission of anticoagulation in patients with AF, but less is known about the quality of prescribing in patients already orally anticoagulated. Patients may be on the wrong OAC or may be on the right OAC but with incorrect doses that may lead to infra or supratherapeutic doses, with an increased risk of thrombotic or haemorrhagic events, respectively. Therefore, our aims were to evaluate if patients with AF were anticoagulated and to evaluate the quality of prescribing in patients already on OACs.

Material and Methods

1. STUDY DESIGN AND SETTING

This study follows the STROBE guidelines for observational studies.¹⁴ A cross-sectional study, using retrospective data, was undertaken for a four-month period (January to April 2019). The study was conducted in the Internal Medicine Department of a hospital from Alentejo. The hospital covers a resident population of about 33 677 inhabitants, with an area of influence of 8.542.7 km², which corresponds to approximately 9.3% of the national territory.

2. POPULATION AND SAMPLE

The population of interest was defined as the resident

population covered by this hospital, which was 33 677 inhabitants (Pordata, 2018). Patients were included in the study if they cumulatively:

1) were aged \geq 18;

2) had previous history of non-valvular AF;

3) presented a CHA₂DS₂-VASc \geq 2 points if they were male or \geq 3 if they were female¹;

4) were admitted to the Internal Medicine Department. Patients were excluded if they presented mechanical heart valve, moderate-severe mitral valve stenosis, or any contraindication for OAC use.

Sample size estimation was performed using Epi Info[®] (v.6.0), considering a total population of 33 677, a prevalence of patients with AF using OACs of 40%,¹¹ a beta error of 3%, and a 95% confidence interval. Therefore, our sample should consist of 1026 patients with AF.

3. OUTCOME DEFINITIONS AND MEASURES

The first endpoint accessed in this study was the number of patients that were using OACs, knowing that all the sample was eligible for oral anticoagulation. The second endpoint was the number of patients that were correctly anticoagulated, i.e., those that were prescribed with OACs, had the drug dose adjusted for clinical and laboratory characteristics. In the group of patients using NOACs, they were considered correctly anticoagulated if they had the drug adjusted (in terms of dose and frequency) for their age, renal function, and weight. In the group of patients using VKAs, they were considered anticoagulated if their last value of INR was between 2.0 and 3.0.

4. DATA EXTRACTION

Data was extracted from medical records, which included sociodemographic (sex and age), clinical data (comorbidities, previous history of cerebrovascular events – ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack), laboratory values (INR values and renal function), and pharmacotherapeutic profile (number of medications, pharmacotherapeutic group of OAC, active ingredient used, and dose). Renal function was evaluated using serum creatinine (mg/dL) and the creatinine clearance (mL/min; this parameter was estimated using the Cockcroft-Gault Equation). CHA₂DS₂VASc scores were estimated considering the 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation.¹

5. ETHICS AND CONFIDENTIALITY

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the hospital where data was collected (document EDOC/2020/18845).

6. DATA ANALYSIS

Data analysis was performed using uni- and bivariate statistics (IBM SPSS v.20.0). Descriptive statistics were used, where numerical variables were expressed using central tendency and dispersion measures (mean and standard deviation) and categorical variables as absolute and relative frequencies. Variables were tested for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test. Bivariate analysis was performed using Student's T-test for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical data, considering a 95% confidence interval. The goal of this analysis was to evaluate potential differences between the OAC and No-OAC groups regarding age, CHA₂DS₂VASc scores, renal function, and number of medications used per patient.

Results

1. SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL FEATURES

A total of 203 patients were included, where 51.7% (n = 105) were female with a mean age of 80.2 \pm 9.4 years old. Most of the patients presented essential hypertension (n = 172; 84.7%) and congestive heart failure (n = 135; 66.5%), followed by type 2 diabetes (n = 75; 36.9%) and previous history of cardiovascular (n = 40; 19.7%) and cerebrovascular (n = 35; 17.2%) events. Patients presented a mean serum creatinine of 1.30 \pm 0.51 mg/dL and a mean clearance of creatinine of 52.1 \pm 22.4 mL/min. Patients were using a mean number of 8.0 \pm 3.2 medications per patient. Detailed results are available in Table 1.

Table 1: Sociodemographic and clinical features of the sample

Sample Features	n=203
Female gender, n (%)	105 (51.7)
Age, mean±SD	80.2±9.4
Comorbidities, n (%) Essential hypertension Congestive heart failure Type 2 diabetes Previous history of cardiovascular events Previous history of cerebrovascular events	172 (84.7) 135 (66.5) 75 (36.9) 40 (19.7) 35 (17.2)
Renal function, mean±SD Serum creatinine (mg/dl) Clearance of creatinine (mL/min)	1.30±0.51 52.1±22.4
Number of medications per patient, mean±SD	8.0±3.2

2. ANTICOAGULATION ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT CHARACTERIZATION

Around 40% (n = 82) of patients were not on OAC. Fifty-seven percent (n = 20) of patients that had previous history of cerebrovascular events were not on OACs. About 63% (n = 76) of patients were on NOACs, where apixaban (48.7%; n = 37) and rivaroxaban (32.9%; n = 25) were the most commonly used. Thirty-seven percent (n = 45) were on VKAs, where warfarin (95.6%; n = 43) was the most commonly used drug (Table 2).
 Table 2: Anticoagulation assessment and treatment characterization

	n=203
Patients on oral anticoagulants, n (%)	121 (59.6)
Anticoagulants used, n (%) NOACs Apixaban Rivaroxaban Dabigatran Edoxaban Vitamin K Antagonists Warfarin Acenocumarol	76 (62.8) 37 (48.7) 25 (32.9) 13 (17.1) 1 (1.3) 45 (37.2) 43 (95.6) 2 (4.4)
Patients correctly anticoagulated, n (%)	90 (74.4)
Reasons for patients incorrectly anticoagulated, n (%) Infratherapeutic dose Supratherapeutic dose	22 (71.0) 9 (29.0)

A quarter of the sample (n = 31) were incorrectly anticoagulated. Infratherapeutic dosing (71%; n = 22) was the main cause for patients to be considered incorrectly anticoagulated. Most patients (67.7%; n = 21) incorrectly anticoagulated were aged 75 or older.

When comparing age, CHA_2DS_2 -VASc, renal function, and number of medications used per patient between OAC groups, only age and number of medications were statistically different (p = 0.001 and p = 0.027, respectively). Results are described in Table 3.

Table 3: Differences between oral anticoagulation groups regarding age, CHA_2DS_2 -VASc, renal function and number of medications

	OAC (n=121)	No-OAC (n=82)	p
Age, mean±SD	78.4±9.7	82.8±8.4	0.001
CHA2DS2-VASc, mean±SD	4.6±1.4	4.7±1.5	0.947
Renal function*, mean±SD	51.3±21.8	53.2±23.4	0.561
Number of medications used per patient, mean±SD	8.5±3.3	7.4±3.2	0.027

 $^{*}\mbox{For renal function analysis was used the clearance of creatinine (ml/min) No-OAC – not oral anticoagulation; OAC – oral anticoagulation; SD – standard deviation$

Discussion

Our study showed a prevalence of use of OACs by patients with AF of 59.6%, similar to what has been reported both in the UK and in the United States (US) and in a meta-analysis

combining 7 studies. 11,13,15 Other studies, however, have showed much higher proportions of AF patients not anticoa-gulated, reaching 80%, despite not having any contraindication. 13,15,16

In our study, about 57.1% of patients were not anticoagulated and had previous history of cerebrovascular events, a higher value than reported elsewhere.¹⁷ The occurrence of ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack in AF patients on OAC could be explained by the use of infratherapeutic doses, poor treatment adherence or another etiology other than cardioembolic.¹⁸

Our data suggests that advanced age may partly be accountable for the prescriber's decision not to anticoagulate. Old age is associated with an increased prevalence of AF and an increased stroke risk from AF which reaches an annual risk up to 23.5% in AF patients aged 80 to 90 years.^{19,20} However, in the elderly, polypharmacy, drug-drug, drug-food and drug-disease interactions, difficulty in maintaining INR at target, impaired renal function, poor nutritional status, low body weight, risk of falls, cognitive impairment and the increased risk of both stroke and bleeding, are all relatively frequent and may justify physicians' concerns and hinder anticoagulation prescribing or the decision to prescribe cautiously resorting to infratherapeutic doses.²¹⁻²³ VKAs, namely warfarin, are superior to antiplatelet therapy for the prevention of stroke, with warfarin associated with a reduction of 52% in the risk of fatal or disabling stroke and intracranial haemorrhage (ICH) compared with aspirin 75mg daily in patients ≥75 years.^{24,25} On the other hand, NOACs have an improved efficacy/safety ratio, fewer food and drug interactions compared to VKAs and do not need routine blood level monitoring due to their predictable effect. Studies have shown that OAC are safe and effective in very elderly AF patients (≥85 years) and that NOACs have lower mortality rates and similar major bleeding risk compared to VKAs.22,23

NOACs were the most commonly preferable drugs (62.8%), with apixaban and rivaroxaban being the most used. However, 37.2% of patients were on VKAs, with warfarin prescribed in nearly all cases. Since the introduction of NOACs, an increasing number of newly diagnosed AF patients have been treated with these drugs instead of VKAs. However, stroke prevention strategies around countries are markedly heterogeneous and NOACs are frequently used with an individualized approach instead of according to stroke risk scores and guidelines, with an overuse in patients with a lower stroke risk, in the elderly and with a reported underuse in high risk stroke patients.²⁶⁻²⁸ In our study, the high percentage of warfarin use could be explained by the low cost of it compared to NOACs and by some physicians concerns about the limited availability of the antidote and lastly also concerns around the difficulty of assessing efficacy through analytical tests. In the national context, it is also worth referring to the potential role of prescribing indicators, against which performance is measured, which call for the use of VKAs in detriment of NOACs.²⁹

Moreover, a quarter of the sample was incorrectly anticoagulated. Most patients were considered incorrectly anticoagulated and the main reason was being on an infratherapeutic dose. Such prescribing pattern is likely to result from physician's concerns about the bleeding risk and renal function. For patients prescribed resorting to a supratherapeutic dose, the prescribing behaviour is likely to result from concerns about inadequate follow-up without regular ability to monitor renal function and INR.

This study has some limitations that need to be acknowledged. First, our sample is not representative of the population and the sample achieved was considerably lower than the estimate, implying an error adjusted to 3% and thus not enabling extrapolation of findings. Second, we have only used one value of INR to define if a patient was correctly or incorrectly anticoagulated. This may introduce some misclassification bias, because the last value could be on therapeutic range, but the rest of the values out. Therefore, a better way would have been to consider the time in therapeutic range (TTR). However, we did not have access to the patient's diaries of INR, so TTR could not be estimated. Finally, medication adherence was not considered and was in fact beyond the scope of the study. Notwithstanding, this is an important feature which may impact deeply on potential treatment outcomes and should as such be considered in future research.

Conclusion

Data suggest a high proportion of patients eligible for OACs are not receiving treatment. Moreover, among those treated, a quarter was incorrectly anticoagulated. Age and concurrent medication seem to be important predictors for different prescribing patterns. Given the evidence of improved safety performance of OAC, namely of NOACs, and a favourable risk-benefit ratio for AF patients, regardless of age, there is no justification for withholding, ending or not initiating OAC. The institution of compulsory continuous professional development or health-system performance indicators should be considered to improve the prescribing pattern.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thank Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P. (FCT), for the PhD grant provided to João Pedro Aguiar (SFRH/BD/132785/2017).

Responsabilidades Eticas

Conflitos de Interesse: Os autores declaram a inexistência de conflitos de interesse na realização do presente trabalho.

Fontes de Financiamento: Não existiram fontes externas de financiamento para a realização deste artigo.

Confidencialidade dos Dados: Os autores declaram ter seguido os protocolos da sua instituição acerca da publicação dos dados de doentes. Proteção de Pessoas e Animais: Os autores declaram que os procedimentos seguidos estavam de acordo com os regulamentos estabelecidos pelos responsáveis da Comissão de Investigação Clínica e Ética e de acordo com a Declaração de Helsínquia da Associação Médica Mundial.

Proveniência e Revisão por Pares: Não comissionado; revisão externa por pares.

Ethical Disclosures

Conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. Financing Support: This work has not received any contribution, grant or scholarship

Confidentiality of Data: The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of data from patients.

Protection of Human and Animal Subjects: The authors declare that the procedures followed were in accordance with the regulations of the relevant clinical research ethics committee and with those of the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Provenance and Peer Review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

© Autor (es) (ou seu (s) empregador (es)) e Revista SPMI 2021. Reutilização permitida de acordo com CC BY-NC. Nenhuma reutilização comercial. © Author(s) (or their employer(s)) and SPMI Journal 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use.

Correspondence / Correspondência:

Joana C F Lima – joana519@gmail.com

Serviço de Medicina Interna, Hospital José Joaquim Fernandes, Beja, Portugal

R. Dr. Antonio Fernando Covas Lima, 7801-849, Beja

Received / Recebido: 05/03/2021

Accepted / Aceite: 04/07/2021

Publicado / Published: 21/12/2021

REFERENCES

- Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, Arbelo E, Bax JJ, Blomström-Lundqvist C, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Eur Heart J. 2021;42:373-498. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa612
- Atrial fibrillation set to affect more than 14 million over-65s in the EU by 2060. [Accessed November 22, 2020] Available from: https://www. escardio.org/The-ESC/Press-Office/Press-releases/Atrial-fibrillation-set--to-affect-more-than-14-million-over-65s-in-the-EU-by-2060.
- Zoni-Berisso M, Lercari F, Carazza T, Domenicucci S. Epidemiology of atrial fbrillation: European perspective. Clin Epidemiol. 2014;6:213-20. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S47385
- Bonhorst D, Mendes M, Adragão P, De Sousa J, Primo J, Leiria E, et al. Prevalence of atrial fibrillation in the Portuguese population aged 40 and over: the FAMA study. Rev Port Cardiol. 2010;29:331-50.
- Monteiro P. The SAFIRA study: A reflection on the prevalence and treatment patterns of atrial fibrillation and cardiovascular risk factors in 7500 elderly subjects. Rev Port Cardiol. 2018;37:307-13. doi:10.1016/j. repce.2017.08.006
- January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, Calkins H, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC Jr, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: A report of the American College of Cardiology/

American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:e1-e76. doi:10.1016/j. jacc.2014.03.022

- Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2893-962. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehw210
- January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, Chen LY, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC Jr, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society in Collaboration with the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. Circulation. 2019;140:e125-e151. doi:10.1161/CIR.000000000000665
- Haastrup SB, Hellfritzsch M, Rasmussen L, Pottegård A, Grove EL. Use of Non-Vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants 2008–2016: A Danish Nationwide Cohort Study. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol. 2018;123:452-63. doi:10.1111/bcpt.13024
- O'Neal WT, Sandesara PB, Claxton JS, MacLehose RF, Chen LY, Bengtson LG, et al. Provider specialty, anticoagulation prescription patterns, and stroke risk in atrial fibrillation. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7 (6): e007943. doi:10.1161/JAHA.117.007943
- Caldeira D, Barra M, David C, Costa J, Ferreira JJ, Pinto FJ. The prevalence of oral anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation in Portugal: Systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Rev Port Cardiol. 2014;33:555-60. doi:10.1016/j.repce.2014.02.011
- Holt TA, Hunter TD, Gunnarsson C, Khan N, Cload P, Lip GY. Risk of stroke and oral anticoagulant use in atrial fibrillation: A cross-sectional survey. Br J Gen Pract. 2012;62:e710-17. doi:10.3399/bjgp12X656856
- Cowan C, Healicon R, Robson I, et al. The use of anticoagulants in the management of atrial fibrillation among general practices in England. Heart. 2013;99 (16):1166-72. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2012-303472
- 14. STROBE Statement. [Accessed November 22, 2020] Available from: https://www.strobe-statement.org/index.php?id=available-checklists.
- Lakshminarayan K, Solid CA, Collins AJ, Anderson DC, Herzog CA. Atrial fibrillation and stroke in the general medicare population: A 10-year perspective (1992 to 2002). Stroke. 2006;37:1969-74. doi:10.1161/01. STR.0000230607.07928.17
- Filippi A, Bettoncelli G, Zaninelli A. Detected atrial fibrillation in North Italy: rates, calculated stroke risk and proportion of patients receiving thrombo-prophylaxis. Fam Pract. 2000;17:337-9. doi:10.1093/fampra/17.4.337
- Han TS, Fry CH, Fluck D, Affley B, Gulli G, Barrett C, et al. Anticoagulation therapy in patients with stroke and atrial fibrillation: A registry-based study of acute stroke care in Surrey, UK. BMJ Open. 2018;8:e022558. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022558
- Fernandes L, Sargento-Freitas J, Milner J, Silva A, Novo A, Gonçalves T, et al. Ischemic stroke in patients previously anticoagulated for non-valvular atrial fibrillation: Why does it happen? Rev Port Cardiol. 2019;38:117-24. doi:10.1016/j.repce.2018.06.007
- Escobar C, Barrios V, Jimenez D. Atrial fibrillation and dabigatran: Has the time come to use new anticoagulants? Cardiovasc Ther. 2010;28:295-301. doi:10.1111/j.1755-5922.2010.00216.x
- Sellers MB, Newby LK. Atrial fibrillation, anticoagulation, fall risk, and outcomes in elderly patients. Am Heart J. 2011;161:241-6. doi:10.1016/j. ahj.2010.11.002
- 21. Narasimha D, Curtis AB. Anticoagulation for Atrial Fibrillation in the Elderly. Arch Med. 2015;7:1-12.
- Barco S, Cheung YW, Eikelboom JW, Coppens M. New oral anticoagulants in elderly patients. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol. 2013;26:215-24. doi:10.1016/j.beha.2013.07.011
- Poli D, Antonucci E, Ageno W, Bertù L, Migliaccio L, Martinese L, et al. Oral anticoagulation in very elderly patients with atrial fibrillation: Results from the prospective multicenter START2-REGISTER study. PLoS One. 2019;14:e0216831. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0216831
- The ACTIVE Writing Group on behalf of the ACTIVE Investigators. Clopidogrel plus aspirin versus oral anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation in the Atrial fibrillation Clopidogrel Trial with Irbesartan for prevention of Vascular Events (ACTIVE W): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2006;367:1903-12. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68845-4

348 Medicina Interna

- 25. Mant J, Hobbs FR, Fletcher K, Roalfe A, Fitzmaurice D, Lip GY, et al. Warfarin versus aspirin for stroke prevention in an elderly community population with atrial fibrillation (the Birmingham Atrial Fibrillation Treatment of the Aged Study, BAFTA): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2007;370:493-503. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61233-1
- van den Heuvel JM, Hövels AM, Büller HR, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, de Boer A, Maitland-van der Zee AH. NOACs replace VKA as preferred oral anticoagulant among new patients: A drug utilization study in 560 pharmacies in The Netherlands. Thromb J. 2018;16:7. doi:10.1186/s12959-017-0156-y
- 27. Haas S, Camm AJ, Bassand JP, Angchaisuksiri P, Cools F, Corbalan R,

et al. Predictors of NOAC versus VKA use for stroke prevention in patients with newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation: Results from GARFIELD-AF. Am Heart J. 2019;213:35-46. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2019.03.013

- Kakkar AK, Mueller I, Bassand JP, Fitzmaurice DA, Goldhaber SZ, Goto S, et al. Risk Profiles and Antithrombotic Treatment of Patients Newly Diagnosed with Atrial Fibrillation at Risk of Stroke: Perspectives from the International, Observational, Prospective GARFIELD Registry. PLoS One. 2013;8: e63479. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063479
- Ministério da Saúde, ACSS Administração Central do Sistema de Saúde, I.P. Bilhete de identidade dos indicadores dos cuidados de saúde primários para o ano de 2017. Lisboa: ACSS; 2017.