

# **COMPONENTS OF THE MEDITERRANEAN DIETARY PATTERN AND COVID-19 SYMPTOMS**



<sup>1</sup> Unidade de Recursos

Assistenciais Partilhados do ACeS Loures-Odivelas,

Rua Júlia Escrivaniz, s/n,

1675-580 Caneças,

<sup>2</sup> Unidade de Nutrição

e Dietética, Serviço de

de Saúde da Região Autónoma da Madeira,

Endocrinologia, Serviço

Rua das Hortas, n.º 67.

<sup>3</sup> Unidade de Investigação

Mendonça, Hospital Dr.

Autónoma da Madeira.

9004-514 Funchal, Portugal

Av. Luís de Camões, n.º 57.

Nélio Mendonça, Serviço de Saúde da Região

9054-526 Funchal,

Dra, Maria Isabel

ARSLVT, IP.,

Portugal

EPERAM.

Portugal

EPERAM,

# COMPONENTES DA DIETA MEDITERRÂNICA E SINTOMAS COVID-19







# ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has affected millions of lives globally. Despite the favourable impact of Mediterranean Dietary Pattern on cardiometabolic disorders that predispose themselves to COVID-19 infection, it is important to know the dietary components with potential to improve disease-related outcomes.

OBJECTIVES: To investigate the association of the Mediterranean Dietary Pattern and its components with the severity of COVID-19 symptoms

METHODOLOGY: Cross-sectional study with a sample of adults recovered from COVID-19 infection, residents of Funchal and unvaccinated. Data on sociodemographic variables, lifestyle, presence of chronic diseases, and intake of supplements food, self-perceived health status, COVID-19 symptoms and dietary consumption was collected using the Computer Assisted Telephone Interview method. Mediterranean Dietary Pattern adherence was obtained from the MEDAS (Mediterranean Diet Adherence Score) questionnaire. Simple and multiple logistic regression models were used to analyse the severity of COVID-19 symptoms and different metrics.

RESULTS: A total of 541 adults were included in the study, 60.0% were female and 53.9% were 40-59 years old. Data show that 15.7% of the participants were asymptomatic for COVID-19 infection and 26.9% had severe symptoms. A strong adherence to Mediterranean Dietary Pattern was found in 14.8% of the participants, and it was significantly higher among the asymptomatic participants than those who reported mild or severe symptoms. A low ingestion of red/ processed meats, fats (butter/cream/margarine), commercial pastry and carbonated/ sugar-sweetened drinks, as well as consumption of poultry more than red meat, was associated with less severe COVID-19 symptoms, after adjustment for sex, age and education level. Participants who reported an adequate consumption of olive oil (OR=0.47; 95% CI: 0.25-0.88) and vegetables (OR=0.57; 95% CI: 0.35-0.94) were less likely to experience any symptoms of disease. Nevertheless, results were not consistent when several items, associated in bivariate analysis and in unadjusted logistic regression models, were taken into consideration.

CONCLUSIONS: Adherence to Mediterranean Dietary Pattern, in particular adequate consumption of olive oil and vegetables, and limited ingestion of commercial pastry, red/ processed meat, fats and carbonated/ sugar-sweetened drinks, was associated with less severe symptoms of COVID-19 infection.

### \*Endereco para correspondência:

Liliane Costa Unidade de Recursos Assistenciais Partilhados do ACeS Loures-Odivelas, ARSI VT IP Rua Júlia Escrivaniz, s/n, 1675-580 Caneças, Portugal liliane.lilocosta@gmail.com

### Histórico do artigo:

Recebido a 21 de novembro de 2022 Aceite a 23 de março de 2023

### **KEYWORDS**

Covid-19, Mediterranean dietary pattern, Severity, Symptoms

### RESUMO

INTRODUÇÃO: A doença de coronavírus 19 (COVID-19) afetou milhões de vidas em todo o mundo. Apesar do impacto favorável do Padrão Alimentar Mediterrânico nos distúrbios cardiometabólicos, que predispõem à infeção por COVID-19, é importante conhecer os componentes alimentares com potencial para melhorar os resultados relacionados com a doença.

OBJETIVOS: Investigar a associação entre o Padrão Alimentar Mediterrânico e seus componentes com a gravidade dos sintomas COVID-19

METODOLOGIA: Estudo transversal em uma amostra de adultos recuperados da infeção por COVID-19, residentes no Funchal e não vacinados. Dados sobre variáveis sociodemográficos, estilo de vida, presença de doenças crónicas, ingestão de suplementos alimentares, estado de saúde percecionado, sintomas de COVID-19 e consumo alimentar foram recolhidos usando o método Computer Assisted Telephone Interview. A adesão ao Padrão Alimentar Mediterrânico foi obtida a partir do questionário MEDAS (Mediterranean Diet Adherence Score). Modelos de regressão logística simples e múltipla foram usados para analisar a gravidade dos sintomas da COVID-19 e diferentes métricas.

RESULTADOS: Um total de 542 adultos foram incluídos no estudo, 60,0% do sexo feminino e 53,9% com idade entre 40 e 59 anos. Os dados mostram que 15,7% dos participantes eram assintomáticos para infecão por COVID-19 e 26,9% apresentavam sintomas graves. Uma forte adesão ao Padrão Alimentar Mediterrânico foi encontrada em 14,8% dos participantes, sendo significativamente maior entre os assintomáticos do que naqueles que relataram sintomas leves ou graves. Baixa ingestão de carnes vermelhas/ processadas, gorduras (manteiga/creme ou margarina), pastelaria e refrigerantes/ bebidas açucaradas, assim como o consumo mais frequente de carne de aves do que carne vermelha, foi associada a uma menor gravidade dos sintomas, após ajustado para sexo, idade e escolaridade. Os participantes que relataram consumo adequado de azeite (OR=0.47; IC 95%; 0.25-0.88) e hortícolas (OR=0,57; IC 95%: 0,35-0,94) apresentaram menor probabilidade de ter algum sintoma da doença. Contudo, os resultados não foram consistentes, quando considerados vários itens, associados na análise bivariada e em modelos de regressão logística não ajustados.

**CONCLUSÕES:** A adesão ao Padrão Alimentar Mediterrânico, em particular o consumo adequado de azeite e vegetais, a ingestão limitada de pastelaria, carnes vermelhas/processadas, gorduras e refrigerantes/ bebidas açucaradas, foi associada a menor severidade de sintomas da infeção por COVID-19.

#### PALAVRAS-CHAVE

COVID-19, Padrão alimentar mediterrânico, Severidade, Sintomas

#### INTRODUCTION

In 2019, a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavírus, the SARS-CoV-2, was discovered and lead to a pandemic disease (COVID-19) that has affected millions of lives globally (1-3). The main measures taken worldwide to prevent and mitigate COVID-19 included home containment, social distancing, and travel restriction. These measures of containment had a remarkable influence on the health status of individuals and communities. On one hand, the lockdown and social distancing measures appear to reduce physical activity and increase unhealthy lifestyles, thus increasing Non-Communicable Diseases (NCD) risk factors (4, 5). Patients with NCDs, as metabolic and cardiovascular diseases, are more likely to have more severe clinical symptoms of COVID-19 (6). On the other hand, the SARS-CoV-2 infection also affects the progression of pre-existing clinical conditions which may increase the severity of COVID-19 and mortality risk (4). In Europe, an excess mortality rate was observed during the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly affecting elderly individuals and to a lesser extent young and older adults (7). Some determinants of NCDs were found to be also risk factors for COVID-19 infection, such as advanced age, male sex, obesity, smoking, low physical activity levels and poverty (5, 8). A balanced and healthy diet is a key component for the adequacy of the immune response, including the anti-inflammatory effects to reduce the risk of infection or the development of symptoms (9-11).

The Mediterranean Diet (MD) is considered as a sustainable and healthy dietary pattern, which has been consistently associated with lower risk of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases (12-14). A review conducted to define this pattern by food groups and nutrients, concluded that it is characterized by a high consumption of vegetables, fruits, and legumes/ pulses, and a moderate intake of nuts, fish, poultry and dairy products (12, 15). The Mediterranean Dietary Pattern (MDP) also recommends limited amounts of red, processed meat and sweets and the use of olive oil as the principal source of fat, for cooking and dressings. A moderate intake of red wine is also comprises in this food pattern. On average, and despite methodological differences among studies, the MDP provides 43% of energy from carbohydrates, 37% from total fat of energy (19% from monounsaturated and 5% from polyunsaturated fatty acids) and 15% from proteins. For a healthy lifestyle in young adults, the MDP provides the required daily amount of vitamins (D, E, C, A, B12, and folate), minerals (selenium, zinc, iron and manganese) and polyphenols needed to maintain an appropriate immune response (16). In COVID-19 disease, adequate protein and carbohydrate content, short-chain fatty acid and omega-3 fatty acid amounts, and high-dose of fiber, vitamin C, vitamin D and minerals, appears to be beneficial in setting an active immune response to fight against SARS-CoV-2 infection and reduce the inflammation that may lead to a severe clinical condition and poor outcomes (8). Therefore, it is with no surprise that, since the beginning of COVID-19 pandemic, there have been several papers describing the potential benefit of foods and nutrients from the MDP in the therapeutic approach of SARS-CoV-2 infection and in the improvement of symptoms of COVID-19 (16-20). Despite the few studies that have investigated the adherence of MDP and symptoms of disease, data shows that a higher Mediterranean Diet score is associated with a reduced severity of symptoms of COVID-19 (21, 22). However, it is important to address the dietary components of the MDP that have potential in improving disease-related outcomes and/or preventing COVID-19 infection.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

To investigate the association of MDP components and the severity of COVID-19 symptoms (asymptomatic, mild or severe).

#### METHODOLOGY

The present investigation received the approval of the Ethical Committee for Health (No.16/2021) of the Health Service of the Autonomous Region of Madeira (SESARAM, EPERAM). Data refers to adults (18-64 years old), residents in Funchal, recovered from COVID-19 infection and unvaccinated. The study methodology has been previously described elsewhere (22). Briefly, this is an observational, cross-sectional study, retrospective with self-reported primary data collection, by CATI (Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing) system. Data was collected by trained nutritionists and included information on sociodemographic variables (gender, age group, education, marital status, and professional status), lifestyle (physical activity and regular smokers), presence of chronic diseases, intake of supplements food, self-perceived health status (health status in overall and body weight) and COVID-19 symptoms.

For statistical analyses BMI was categorized into classes - underweight/ eutrophic (<24.9 kg/m²), pre-obesity (25.0–29.9kg/m²), obesity ( $\geq$ 30kg/m²) - and as a dummy variable (overweight if  $\geq$ 25.0 kg/m²) (23).

To assess dietary habits, the authors used the validated telephone administered version of the 14-item Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener (MEDAS) questionnaire (24). A guide for applying the questionnaire was previously developed, by the nutritionists involved in the study, with types of food and portions, for each question, in order to reduce interpretation errors. The results were categorized to estimate the adherence to the MDP as follows: weak adherence, ≤5; moderate adherence, 6–9; strong adherence ≥10.

Covid-19 severity level was categorized according to reported symptoms: "Asymptomatic" if no symptoms; "severe" if trouble breathing, persistent pain or pressure in the chest, inability to speak or move or hospitalization; and "mild" if not included in the previous categories (ex: fever or chills; cough; fatigue; muscle or body aches; headache; anosmia; ageusia; sore throat; congestion or runny nose; nausea or vomiting; diarrhea) (25, 26). In the present study two dependent variables were created: one that included experiencing any COVID-19 symptoms (mild or severe) versus asymptomatic; and another that included having severe symptoms versus none or mild COVID-19 symptoms.

### Statistical Analysis

Simple and multiple logistic regressions were conducted to examine the determinants of having severe COVID-19 symptoms, and of experiencing any COVID-19 symptoms versus none. For each dependent variable three models were estimated: not adjusted (Model 1); adjusted for sex, age and education level (Model 2); and adjusted for sex, age, education level, smoking status, physical exercise, chronic disease, weight perception, MDP adherence and the Mediterranean Diet items that were statistically significant in bivariate analysis and in unadjusted logistic regression models (Model 3).

Results were presented as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and respective p-values. The level of significance was set at p<0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 25.

#### **RESULTS**

# **Characteristics of the Study Population**

The overall characteristics of the study population sample are summarized in Table 1, including their bivariate association with levels of COVID-19 symptoms. A total of 541 adults were included in the study, the majority of which were female (60.0%), aged 40-59 years old (53.9%), married/ civil union (62.9%) and employed (78.8%). The results showed that 1 in 6 participants, during COVID-19 disease, were asymptomatic, while 84.3% experienced any type of symptoms. Severe symptoms were reported for 26.9% of the respondents. Approximately 50.7% of adults who experienced severe symptoms perceived themselves as overweight, while among asymptomatic 60.0% evaluated themselves as being of normal weight (p<0.05). In reality, 42.9% of asymptomatic respondents were normal or underweight and 61.6% of adults who had severe symptoms were overweight. However, in this study, differences in BMI classes between COVID-19 symptoms were not statistically significant.

Analysis of the data showed that 61.6% of the participants reported a moderate adherence to the MDP, while 14.8% revealed a strong adherence (Table 1). The prevalence of a good MDP adherence were higher among those who experienced no symptoms (22.4%), compared to those who had severe clinical outcomes (11.6%). The higher percentage of consumption inadequacy (less than the recommendations) was found for the following dietary items: olive oil (87.5%); legumes (70.5%); tree nuts (69.2%); vegetables (64.8%); and fruits (60.7%). Differences between severity degrees of symptoms were statistically significant for wine glasses, commercial (not homemade) pastry and eating poultry more than red meats.

# Determinants of having Severe COVID-19 Symptoms (vs. mild/asymptomatic)

Table 2 showed the crude and adjusted OR of experiencing severe symptoms (vs mild/ asymptomatic). Compared with a low MDP adherence degree, and after adjusted for sex, age and education level, a moderate and a strong adherence decreased the odds of having severe symptoms of COVID-19 by 48 and 62%, respectively. A low ingestion of red/ processed meats (as well as the substitution of red meat by poultry), fats (butter/ cream/ margarine) and commercial pastry was associated with less severe COVID-19 symptoms, in the crude model and after adjustment for sex, age and education level. However, in model 3 the association was lost, after adjustments. Results also show that, participants who consumed poultry more often than red meat were less likely to experience severe symptoms of COVID-19, after being adjusted for sex, age and education level (OR=0.51; 95% CI: 0.33-0.77) but not after adjustment for other variables in model 3.

Participants who reported a low consumption of carbonated/ sugar-sweetened beverages were less likely to have more severe symptoms in model 2 (OR=0.56; 95% CI: 0.35-0.87) but no association was found in model 3. Weight perception, either underweight or overweight perception, was the only independent variable associated with more severe experiencing of COVID-19 symptoms, in model 3.

# Determinants of having any COVID-19 Symptoms (vs. none)

Table 3 showed the results of the logistic regression to identify the

determinants of COVID-19 symptoms versus none. A strong MDP adherence score were negatively associated with experiencing COVID-19 symptoms, in both crude (OR=0.39; 95% CI: 0.19-0.84) and adjusted for sex, age and educational level models (OR=0.30; 95% CI: 0.13-0.68). A moderate consumption of wine and a low ingestion of commercial pastry showed a protective effect against COVID-19 symptoms, but only in the crude model. After being adjusted for sex, age and education, a daily consumption of adequate amounts of olive oil (OR=0.47; 95% CI: 0.25-0.88) and vegetables (OR=0.57; 95% CI: 0.35-0.94) remained associated with having any symptoms of disease. After adjustments, in model 3, the associations found in bivariate and model 1 analysis were not confirmed except for education level.

#### **DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

Higher adherence to the MDP was associated with the experiencing of less severe symptoms of COVID-19 disease or even the absence of symptoms. A food pattern characterized by a low consumption of commercial pastry was found to be the consistently dietary item associated with having less severe or any symptoms of this infection disease. Data from the present study showed that a high consumption of olive oil and vegetables, a moderate wine ingestion and a low consumption of red/ processed meat, fats (butter/cream or margarine) and carbonated/ sugar-sweetened drinks were also associated with a reduction of the severity of COVID-19 symptoms experienced.

In this study, the percentage of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection among the confirmed population was lower, compared to the 40.5% found in a systematic review and meta-analysis, conducted in 2021 in several countries (27). This can be explained by the selection criteria used to define asymptomatic cases. In our retrospective, cross-sectional study, participants were considered asymptomatic if they did not have symptoms at the screening point neither developed symptoms later, while in the meta-analysis asymptomatic infections were defined as those who did not present any symptoms at the time of the diagnosis.

In 2020, 26% of the Portuguese population above 16 years old presented a strong adherence to the MDP, a growth of 15% compared with 2016 (28). This result is higher than reported in the present study. However, if we consider only the adult population, the 14.8% of strong adherence prevalence found in our study is similar to the 15.7% showed in the National Food, Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey, 2015-2016 (Portuguese acronym: IAN-AF) (29).

Regarding food groups, findings revealed the same dietary items (legumes, vegetables, fruits and oleaginous nuts) with a higher percentage of consumption inadequacy, except for olive oil, of which the consumption was found to be lower than the recommendation in the population from Madeira, but not for all the country. In 2012-2015, the adult population of the RAM had already shown an inadequate consumption for almost all food groups, consuming more meat, fish and eggs, but less vegetables, cereals and fruits than the recommendations (30). Already after the beginning of the pandemic and the imposed measures of social containment, it was found that in the Madeira population there was a favorable trend in the consumption of fruit among the youngest, and a slight increase in vegetables ingestion between adults and elderly (31).

In unadjusted models, a low consumption of commercial pastry and a strong adherence to a MDP were associated with a reduction in the odds of having any degree of symptoms, including severe ones. However, these variables did not remain true after adjustment for all the other variables associated in the bi- and multivariate analysis of the present study.

Table 1

Characteristics of the study population, in the total and by degree of COVID-19 symptoms

			COVID-19 SYMPTOMS						
	TOTAL	ACVMDTOMATIC	CEVEDE						
	(%/N) (N=542)	ASYMPTOMATIC (%/N) (N=85)	MILD (%/N) (N=311)	SEVERE (%/N) (N=146)					
SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES									
Sex									
Male	217 (40.0%)	40 (47.1%)	128 (41.2%)	49 (33.6%)	0.100				
Female	325 (60.0%)	45 (52.9%)	183 (58.8%)	97 (66.4%)	0.108				
Age (years old)									
18-29	83 (15.3%)	10 (11.8%)	51 (16.4%)	22 (15.1)					
30-39	108 (19.9%)	19 (22.4%)	64 (20.6%)	25 (17.1%)	0.408				
40-49	137 (25.3%)	19 (22.4%)	75 (24.1%)	43 (29.5%)					
50-59	155 (28.6%)	22 (25.9%)	89 (28.6%)	44 (30.1%)					
60-64	59 (10.9%)	15 (17.6%)	32 (10.3%)	12 (8.2%)					
Education level									
0-9 <sup>th</sup>	205 (37.8%) 46 (54.1%) 108 (34.7%) 51 (34.9%)								
10 <sup>th</sup> -12 <sup>th</sup>	134 (24.7%)	12 (14.1%)	89 (28.6%)	33 (22.6%)	0.005				
> 12 <sup>th</sup>	203 (37.5%)	27 (31.8%)	114 (36.7%)	62 (42.5%)					
Marital status (married/ civil union)	341 (62.9%)	56 (65.9%)	188 (60.5%)	97 (66.4%)	0.385				
Working status (Active)	427 (78.8%)	68 (80.0%)	243 (78.1%)	116 (79.5%)	0.908				
LIFESTYLE VARIABLES	, ,	· ,		. ,					
Smoke status									
Non-smoker	408 (75.3%)	59 (69.4%)	243 (78.1%)	106 (72.6%)					
Ex-smoker	62 (11.4%)	9 (10.6%)	32 (10.3%)	21 (14.4%)	0.202				
Current smoker	72 (13.3%)	17 (20.0%)	36 (11.6%)	19 (13.0%)					
Physical exercise (≥150 minutes/ wk)	148 (27.3%)	22 (25.9%)	92 (29.6%)	34 (23.3%)	0.352				
Dietarysupplements (yes)	117 (21.6%)	15 (17.6%)	68 (21.9%)	34 (23.3%)	0.594				
HEALTH STATUS VARIABLES	117 (21.070)	10 (17.070)	00 (21.070)	04 (20.070)	0.004				
Chronic disease (yes)	219 (40.4%)	34 (40.0%)	119 (38.3%)	66 (45.2%)	0.369				
Weight perception	213 (40.470)	04 (40.070)	113 (00.070)	00 (40.270)	0.000				
Normal	287 (53.0%)	51 (60.0%)	175 (56.3%)	61 (41.8%)					
Under normal					0.019				
Excessive	28 (5.2%)	5 (5.9%)	12 (3.9%)	11 (7.5%)	0.019				
BMI classes	227 (41.9%)	29 (34.1%)	124 (39.9%)	74 (50.7%)					
Underweight/Eutrophic	216 (40 20/)	36 (42 00()	104 (40 50()	EC (20 40/)					
	216 (40.3%)	36 (42.9%)	124 (40.5%)	56 (38.4%)	0.000				
Pre-obesity	187 (34.9%)	29 (34.5%)	106 (34.6%)	52 (35.6%)	0.968				
Obesity	133 (24.8%)	19 (22.6%)	76 (24.8%)	38 (26.0%)	0.700				
Overweight (yes)	320 (59.7%)	48 (57.1%)	182 (59.5%)	90 (61.6%)	0.793				
MDP ITENS									
1.Olive oil as main culinary lipid	490 (90.4%)	80 (94.1%)	280 (90.0%)	130 (89.0%)	0.424				
2.Olive oil ≥ 4 tablespoons/ d	68 (12.5%)	17 (20.0%)	33 (10.6%)	18 (12.3%)	0.068				
3.Vegetables ≥ 2 servings/ d	191 (35.2%)	36 (42.4%)	110 (35.4%)	45 (30.8%)	0.209				
4.Fruits ≥ 3 servings/ d	213 (39.3%)	39 (45.9%)	117 (37.6%)	57 (39.0%)	0.384				
5.Red/processed meats ≤ 6/ wk	423 (78.0%)	71 (83.5%)	248 (79.7%)	104 (71.2%)	0.051				
6. Butter/cream/margarine < 1/ d	372 (68.6%)	64 (75.3%)	218 (70.1%)	90 (61.6%)	0.068				
7.Carbonated/sugar-sweetened drinks < 1/d	410 (77.6%)	66 (77.6%)	242 (77.8%)	102 (69.9%)	0.163				
8.Wine glasses > 7and < 14/ wk	51 (9.4%)	14 (16.5%)	24 (7.7%)	13 (8.9%)	0.048				
9.Legumes ≥ 3/ wk	160 (29.5%)	27 (31.8%)	88 (28.3%)	45 (30.8%)	0.760				
10.Fish/seafood ≥ 3/ wk	265 (48.9%)	44 (51.8%)	152 (48.9%)	69 (47.3%)	0.804				
11.Commercial pastry ≤2/wk	359 (66.2%)	66 (77.6%)	206 (66.2%)	87 (59.6%)	0.020				
12.Tree nuts ≥ 3/ wk	167 (30.8%)	26 (30.6%)	90 (28.9%)	51 (31.9%)	0.433				
13.Poultry more than red meats	390 (72.0%)	62 (72.9%)	236 (75.9%)	92 (63.0%)	0.017				
14.Use of sofrito sauce ≥ 2/ wk	340 (62.7%)	49 (57.6%)	195 (62.7%)	96 (65.8%)	0.470				
MDP ADHERENCE DEGREES	040 (02.170)	TO (01.070)	100 (02.170)	30 (03.070)	0.470				
Weak	128 (23.6%)	14 (16.5%)	68 (21.9%)	46 (31.5%)					
	120 (23.070)	17 (10.070)	00 (£1.370)	40 (J1.370)	_				
Moderate	334 (61.6%)	52 (61.2%)	199 (64.0%)	83 (56.8%)	0.024				

BMI: Body Mass Indexd: day MDP: Mediterranean Dietary Pattern

p: p-value wk: Week

Table 2

Determinants of severe COVID-19 symptoms (vs. mild/ asymptomatic)

	MODEL 1		MODEL	MODEL 2		MODEL 3	
	OR (95% IC)		OR (95% IC)		OR (95% IC)		
SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES							
Sex (Female vs. male)	1.46 (0.98-2.17)	0.062	1.46 (0.98-2.19)	0.062	1.69 (1.08-2.64)	0.023	
Age (years old)							
18-29	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
30-39	0.84 (0.43-1.62)	0.594	0.83 (0.43-1.61)	0.577	0.90 (0.44-1.82)	0.762	
40-49	1.27 (0.69-2.33)	0.442	1.31 (0.71-2.41)	0.393	1.44 (0.73-2.83)	0.290	
50-59	1.10 (0.60-2.00)	0.757	1.19 (0.63-2.22)	0.596	1.34 (0.66-2.72)	0.425	
60-64	0.71 (0.32-1.58)	0.397	0.78 (0.34-1.82)	0.571	0.85 (0.33-2.14)	0.723	
Education level							
0-9 <sup>th</sup>	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
10 <sup>th</sup> -12 <sup>th</sup>	0.99 (0.60-1.63)	0.958	0.96 (0.56-1.63)	0.866	1.10 (0.63-1.94)	0.737	
> 12 <sup>th</sup>	1.33 (0.86-2.05)	0.202	1.29 (0.80-2.08)	0.293	1.74 (1.03-2.96)	0.039	
Marital status (Married/ civil union vs. other)	1.23 (0.83-1.84)	0.303	1.25 (0.82-1.92)	0.300	, ,		
Working status (Active vs. non active)	1.06 (0.66-1.69)	0.817	1.00 (0.60-1.65)	0.986			
LIFESTYLE VARIABLES	Lifestyle variables						
Smoke status	,						
Non-smoker	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Ex-smoker	1.46 (0.83-2.58)	0.194	1.68 (0.93-3.03)	0.087	1.61 (0.87-2.99)	0.132	
Current smoker	1.02 (0.58-1.80)	0.942	1.20 (0.67-2.17)	0.536	1.24 (0.66-2.31)	0.506	
PE (≥150 minutes/ wk vs. <150)	0.75 (0.48-1.17)	0.203	0.75 (0.48-1.19)	0.219	0.93 (0.57-1.50)	0.755	
Dietary supplements (Yes vs. No)	1.15 (0.73-1.80)	0.559	1.08 (0.68-1.71)	0.751	0.30 (0.37-1.30)	0.733	
HEALTH STATUS VARIABLES	1.13 (0.73-1.00)	0.559	1.00 (0.00-1.71)	0.731			
	1.31 (0.89-1.92)	0.167	1.38 (0.92-2.07)	0.124	1.40 (0.92-2.15)	0.119	
Chronic disease (Yes vs. No)	1.51 (0.69-1.92)	0.107	1.30 (0.92-2.01)	0.124	1.40 (0.92-2.13)	0.119	
Weight perception  Normal	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Under normal	2.40 (1.07-5.39)	0.034		0.040	2.38 (1.00-5.63)	0.050	
Excessive			2.38 (1.04-5.44)			0.030	
	1.79 (1.21-2.66)	0.004	1.80 (1.19-2.71)	0.005	1.56 (1.01-2.41)	0.045	
BMI classes	Def		Def				
Underweight/ Eutrophic	Ref.	0.671	Ref.	0.200			
Pre-obesity Objective	1.10 (0.71-1.71)	0.671	1.24 (0.78-1.99)	0.360			
Obesity	1.14 (0.70-1.85)	0.589	1.28 (0.75-2.16)	0.369			
Overweight (Yes vs. No)	1.12 (0.76-1.65)	0.575	1.26 (0.82-1.92)	0.293			
MDP ITEMS							
1.Olive oil as main culinary lipid	0.81 (0.44-1.51)	0.513	0.80 (0.42-1.51)	0.484			
2.Olive oil ≥ 4 tablespoons/ d	0.97 (0.55-1.73)	0.926	0.94 (0.53-1.68)	0.837	0.77 (0.40-1.47)	0.428	
3.Vegetables ≥ 2 servings/ d	0.76 (0.51-1.15)	0.192	0.68 (0.45-1.04)	0.078			
4.Fruits ≥ 3 servings/ d	0.99 (0.67-1.45)	0.941	0.99 (0.66-1.47)	0.942			
5.Red/processed meats ≤ 6/ wk	0.60 (0.39-0.93)	0.021	0.50 (0.32-0.80)	0.004	0.86 (0.47-1.58)	0.625	
6. Butter/cream/margarine < 1/ d	0.65 (0.44-0.97)	0.034	0.60 (0.40-0.90)	0.013	0.68 (0.44-1.06)	0.088	
7. Carbonated/sugar-sweetened drinks < 1/d	0.66 (0.43-1.01)	0.058	0.56 (0.35-0.87)	0.010			
8.Wine glasses > 7and < 14/ wk	0.92 (0.48-1.78)	0.807	1.10 (0.55-2.20)	0.789	1.23 (0.58-2.61)	0.582	
9.Legumes ≥ 3/ wk	1.09 (0.72-1.65)	0.687	1.12 (0.73-1.70)	0.610			
10.Fish/seafood ≥ 3/ wk	0.91 (0.63-1.34)	0.644	0.88 (0.59-1.30)	0.509			
11.Commercial pastry ≤2/wk	0.67 (0.45-1.00)	0.048	0.64 (0.42-0.97)	0.036	0.81 (0.51-1.29)	0.370	
12.Tree nuts ≥ 3/ wk	1.30 (0.87-1.94)	0.208	1.21 (0.80-1.84)	0.375	. ,		
13.Poultry more than red meats	0.56 (0.37-0.84)	0.005	0.51 (0.33-0.77)	0.002	0.69 (0.40-1.21)	0.200	
14.Use of sofrito sauce ≥ 2/ wk	1.20 (0.80-1.78)	0.377	1.22 (0.82-1.83)	0.325	, - ,		
MDP ADHERENCE DEGREES	. (		()				
	Def				Ref.		
Weak	Rei.						
Weak Moderate	Ref. 0.59 (0.38-0.91)	0.018	0.52 (0.33-0.82)	0.005	0.77 (0.44-1.35)	0.363	

d: Day

BMI: Body Mass Index

MDP: Mediterranean Dietary Pattern

p: p-value

PE: Physical Exercise

wk: Week

Model 1 - Unadjusted.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \beg$ 

education level.

 ${\it Model 3-the following variables entered in the model: sex; age; educational level; smoke}$ status; physical exercise; chronic disease; weight perception; MDP items (Olive oil ≥ 4 tablespoons/d; Red/processed meats ≤6/wk; Butter/cream/ margarine <1/d; Wine glasses >7 and < 14/ wk; Commercial pastry ≤2/wk; Poultry more than red meats); and MDP adherence degrees).

Table 3

Determinants of having any COVID-19 symptoms (vs. asymptomatic)

MODEL 1		MODEL	MODEL 2		MODEL 3	
OR (95% IC)		OR (95% IC)		OR (95% IC)		
1.41 (0.88-2.24)	0.151	1.35 (0.84-2.17)	0.213	1.47 (0.86-2.51)	0.157	
Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
0.64 (0.28-1.47)	0.292	0.69 (0.30-1.60)	0.387	0.83 (0.34-1.99)	0.668	
0.85 (0.38-1.93)	0.699	0.98 (0.42-2.24)	0.952	1.25 (0.51-3.07)	0.622	
0.83 (0.37-1.84)	0.644	1.17 (0.51-2.73)	0.710	1.49 (0.59-3.72)	0.397	
0.40 (0.17-0.97)	0.043	0.63 (0.25-1.62)	0.338	0.87 (0.31-2.48)	0.798	
Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
2.94 (1.49-5.79)	0.002	2.90 (1.43-5.88)	0.003	2.83 (1.35-5.91)	0.006	
1.89 (1.12-3.18)	0.017	1.89 (1.06-3.35)	0.031	1.87 (1.00-3.51)	0.050	
0.86 (0.53-1.40)	0.538	0.90 (0.54-1.51)	0.694			
0.92 (0.52-1.63)	0.765	0.84 (0.45-1.56)	0.586			
Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
1.00 (0.47-2.13)	0.991	1.10 (0.50-2.42)	0.806	1.02 (0.45-2.30)	0.960	
	0.052	0.64 (0.34-1.22	0.178	0.69 (0.34-1.37)	0.286	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.400	
1.34 (0.74-2.44)	0.338	1.25 (0.68-2.31)	0.476	,		
, ,		,				
1.02 (0.64-1.64)	0.934	1.20 (0.72-2.00)	0.479	1.08 (0.64-1.82)	0.786	
,		,		,		
Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
0.99 (0.36-2.74)	0.991	0.91 (0.32-2.60)	0.864	0.76 (0.26-2.23)	0.610	
1.48 (0.90-2.42)	0.122	1.53 (0.91-2.56)	0.109	1.37 (0.80-2.37)	0.256	
,		,		,		
Ref.		Ref.				
	0.753		0.368			
			0.134			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		(4.4.4.4.4)				
0.55 (0.21-1.41)	0.212	0.58 (0.22-1.53)	0.270			
				0.51 (0.26-1.01)	0.054	
-				(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
<u> </u>						
				0.55 (0.24-1.27)	0.162	
				,		
0.88 (0.50-1.52)	0.640	0.67 (0.38-1.21)	0.188			
0.45 (0.23-0.87)	0.017	0.53 (0.26-1.07)	0.078	0.64 (0.30-1.36)	0.243	
				0.0 (0.00 1.00)	0.2.10	
				0.65 (0.35-1 22)	0.183	
				()		
				1.31(0.64-2.69)	0.465	
				(2.31 2.30)	200	
1.25 (5.55 2.55)	J.202	(0.07 2.01)	3.707			
D (		Ref.		Ref.		
Ref						
Ref. 0.67 (0.36-1.25)	0.205	0.56 (0.29-1.09)	0.090	0.76 (0.35-1.66)	0.490	
	Ref.  1.41 (0.88-2.24)  Ref.  0.64 (0.28-1.47)  0.85 (0.38-1.93)  0.83 (0.37-1.84)  0.40 (0.17-0.97)  Ref.  2.94 (1.49-5.79)  1.89 (1.12-3.18)  0.86 (0.53-1.40)  0.92 (0.52-1.63)  Ref.  1.00 (0.47-2.13)  0.55 (0.30-1.01)  1.09 (0.64-1.85)  1.34 (0.74-2.44)  Ref.  0.99 (0.36-2.74)  1.48 (0.90-2.42)  Ref.  1.09 (0.64-1.86)  1.20 (0.66-2.19)  1.13 (0.71-1.82)  0.55 (0.21-1.41)  0.50 (0.27-0.92)  0.70 (0.44-1.12)  0.73 (0.46-1.16)  0.66 (0.36-1.22)  0.68 (0.40-1.15)	OR (95% IC)       p         1.41 (0.88-2.24)       0.151         Ref.       0.64 (0.28-1.47)       0.292         0.85 (0.38-1.93)       0.699         0.83 (0.37-1.84)       0.644         0.40 (0.17-0.97)       0.043         Ref.         2.94 (1.49-5.79)       0.002         1.89 (1.12-3.18)       0.017         0.86 (0.53-1.40)       0.538         0.92 (0.52-1.63)       0.765         Ref.         1.00 (0.47-2.13)       0.991         0.55 (0.30-1.01)       0.052         1.09 (0.64-1.85)       0.748         1.34 (0.74-2.44)       0.338         1.02 (0.64-1.64)       0.934         Ref.         1.09 (0.36-2.74)       0.991         1.48 (0.90-2.42)       0.122         Ref.         1.09 (0.64-1.86)       0.753         1.20 (0.66-2.19)       0.554         1.13 (0.71-1.82)       0.603         0.55 (0.21-1.41)       0.212         0.50 (0.27-0.92)       0.026         0.70 (0.44-1.12)       0.136         0.73 (0.46-1.16)       0.177         0.68 (0.36-1.22)       0.186	OR (95% IC)         ρ         OR (95% IC)           1.41 (0.88-2.24)         0.151         1.35 (0.84-2.17)           Ref.         Ref.         0.69 (0.30-1.60)           0.85 (0.38-1.93)         0.699         0.98 (0.42-2.24)           0.83 (0.37-1.84)         0.644         1.17 (0.51-2.73)           0.40 (0.17-0.97)         0.043         0.63 (0.25-1.62)           Ref.         Ref.         Ref.           2.94 (1.49-5.79)         0.002         2.90 (1.43-5.88)           1.89 (1.12-3.18)         0.017         1.88 (1.06-3.35)           0.86 (0.53-1.40)         0.538         0.90 (0.54-1.51)           0.92 (0.52-1.63)         0.765         0.84 (0.45-1.56)           Ref.         Ref.         Ref.           1.00 (0.47-2.13)         0.991         1.10 (0.50-2.42)           0.55 (0.30-1.01)         0.052         0.64 (0.34-1.22)           1.09 (0.64-1.85)         0.748         1.00 (0.58-1.73)           1.34 (0.74-2.44)         0.338         1.25 (0.68-2.31)           1.02 (0.66-2.14)         0.994         1.20 (0.72-2.00)           Ref.         Ref.         Ref.           0.99 (0.36-2.74)         0.991         0.91 (0.32-2.60)           1.48 (0.90-2.42)	OR (95% IC)         p         OR (95% IC)         p           1.41 (0.88-2.24)         0.151         1.35 (0.84-2.17)         0.213           Ref.         Ref.         Ref.           0.64 (0.28-1.47)         0.292         0.69 (0.30-1.60)         0.387           0.85 (0.38-1.93)         0.699         0.98 (0.42-2.24)         0.952           0.83 (0.37-1.84)         0.644         1.17 (0.51-2.73)         0.710           0.40 (0.17-0.97)         0.043         0.63 (0.25-1.62)         0.338           Ref.         Ref.         Ref.         Ref.           2.94 (1.49-5.79)         0.002         2.90 (1.43-5.88)         0.003           1.89 (1.12-3.18)         0.017         1.89 (1.06-3.35)         0.031           0.86 (0.55-1.40)         0.538         0.90 (0.54-1.51)         0.694           0.92 (0.52-1.63)         0.765         0.84 (0.45-1.56)         0.586           0.55 (0.30-1.01)         0.052         0.84 (0.34-1.22         0.178           1.09 (0.64-1.85)         0.748         1.00 (0.58-1.73)         0.999           1.34 (0.74-2.44)         0.338         1.25 (0.68-2.31)         0.476           1.02 (0.64-1.64)         0.934         1.20 (0.72-2.00)         0.479	OR (95% IC)         p         OR (95% IC)         p         OR (95% IC)           1.41 (0.88-2.24)         0.151         1.35 (0.84-2.17)         0.213         1.47 (0.86-2.51)           Ref.         Ref.         Ref.         Ref.         Ref.           0.64 (0.28-1.47)         0.292         0.69 (0.30-1.60)         0.387         0.83 (0.34-1.99)           0.65 (0.38-1.83)         0.699         0.98 (0.42-2.24)         0.952         1.25 (0.51-3.07)           0.40 (0.17-0.97)         0.043         0.63 (0.25-1.62)         0.338         0.87 (0.31-2.48)           0.40 (0.17-0.97)         0.043         0.63 (0.25-1.62)         0.338         0.87 (0.31-2.48)           Ref.         Ref.         Ref.         Ref.         Ref.           1.89 (1.12-3.18)         0.017         1.89 (1.06-3.35)         0.031         1.87 (1.00-3.51)           0.86 (0.53-1.40)         0.538         0.90 (0.54-1.51)         0.694         0.94           0.92 (0.52-1.63)         0.765         0.84 (0.45-1.56)         0.586           Ref.         Ref.         Ref.         Ref.           1.09 (0.64-1.85)         0.748         1.10 (0.59-2.42)         0.60 (1.02 (0.45-2.30)           0.55 (0.30-1.01)         0.052         0.64 (0.34-1.22	

BMI: Body Mass Index

MDP: Mediterranean Dietary Pattern

p: p-value PE: Physical Exercise

wk: Week

Model 1 - Unadjusted.

Model 2 - each independent variable was entered separately together with sex, age and

Model 3 – the following variables entered in the model: sex; age; educational level; smoke status; physical exercise; chronic disease; weight perception; MDP items (Olive oil  $\geq 4$ tablespoons/d; Red/processed meats  $\leq$ 6/wk; Wine glasses >7 and < 14/ wk; Commercial pastry ≤2/wk; Poultry more than red meats; and MDP adherence degrees).

The sample size and the absence of unmeasured confounding factors may explain the differences between models. Beside demographic characteristics (age and gender), other factors such as virulence of the SARS-CoV-2 variants and biological differences may be associated with COVID-19 symptoms and progression (32).

A recent study, conducted by Perez-Araluce and colleagues in Spain, assessed the effect of the nine food groups of the MD, defined by Trichopoulou, on the risk of COVID-19 infection, symptomatic and severe disease (33). The authors only found one association, a harmful one, with the consumption of whole dairy products, a food group that is not typical of the MD. They concluded that a MDP as a whole is, probably, more important in preventing symptoms of COVID-19, than each component separately. Another study, that included the countries that had national dietary data from the Global Dietary Databases of the United Nations and coronavírus disease statistics from the World Health Organization (WHO), highlighted that diet alone is probably insufficient to enhance the immune system (34). They found that a higher intake of fruits was associated with an increased infection rate but not with the mortality rate by COVID-19. The study also showed that raising consumption of beans and legumes decreased the crude infection and mortality rates, while a higher sugar-sweetened beverages intake has a positive effect on mortality rates. In the last case, it could be explained, on the one hand by the substrates presented in plant protein of beans and legumes as factors that influence the response of the human immune system, and on the other by the role of sugarsweetened beverages in weight gain. In this ecological study, the authors hypothesized that, despite fruits having an important role in enhancing the immune system responses, higher intake of these micronutrients makes a barrier in improving the human immune system or response to the pathogens due to the role of the fruits with a high glycemic index. Nevertheless, this ecological study did not investigate the symptoms of COVID-19 severity. A similar result was found in another study that intended to examine the association of specific dietary data and incidences of COVID-19 in the United Kingdom (35). Vegetables consumption, but not fruit consumption, was associated with COVID-19 risk. The authors highlighted that, despite fruits and vegetables sharing several health benefits, each food group has specific bioactive compounds. Additionally, fruits are also relatively higher in sugar (fructose) while vegetables contain more starch. While fruits and vegetables vary in their energy, nutrient, and dietary bioactive contents, they remain rich dietary sources of vitamins, minerals, dietary bioactive compounds and dietary fiber, which can exert antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties (18, 36). Among the potential mechanisms by which fruit and vegetables influences the immune system are changes to the gut-associated lymphoid tissues arising from altered gut microflora, in response to dietary fiber, and a reduction in serum inflammatory factor levels likely due to the phenolic compounds. A very recent study, conducted among unvaccinated COVID-19 patients, aged 30 years old in Iranian hospitals, has shown that a more frequent adherence to the MD, scored by the MEDAS questionnaire, has a significant negative relationship with the symptoms of COVID-19 and serum inflammatory markers (37). Consistent with our results, the authors of the Iranian study found a lower incidence of COVID-19 symptoms among patients who adhere more to the MD. However, there are methodological differences, namely, asymptomatic patients were not included.

Our study also compiled other information that could be associated with COVID-19 symptoms. Participants with a perception of weight under or above the normal were more likely to present more severe symptoms. It is possible that those who had more severe symptoms

increased awareness of weight perceived, especially because obesity is a known risk factor for adverse COVID-19 outcome (38). Also, those who have attained a higher educational level were more likely to present any COVID-19 symptoms (vs asymptomatic). One possible explanation may lie in the job characteristics of the participants during the pandemic and the exposure level to the virus. The type of work facilities and the interpersonal requirement of the occupation may influence the exposure to the virus and, consequently the viral load acquired. A high viral load of the SARS-CoV-2 appears to increase the transmission and influence negatively the course of the disease and the severity of the symptoms (39, 40).

This study has a few limitations. First, MDP data may not reflect current food patterns in the RAM. As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, these findings represent a snapshot in time of the pandemic. Second, recovery from COVID-19 did not capture the fatal cases, nor consider the sequels resulting from the disease. Additionally, self-reported data may have increased information and social desirability bias. Ultimately, the present study was cross-sectional and no causal inference can be made. Despite these limitations, and according to our knowledge, the present study represents the first paper work exploring the association between key components of MDP and COVID-19 symptoms among the Portuguese population. One of the strengths of this study is that the questionnaires were applied by trained health care professionals (nutritionists) and it may reduce information bias. Additionally, the MEDAS questionnaire is a validated tool to categorize MDP adherence in different populations and countries, using the same methodology and allowing comparisons between them (41). In the future, well-designed dietary clinical trials would be necessary to explore and confirm the effects of MDP components, in preventing or improving COVID-19 disease and related outcomes.

Even though vaccines and therapeutics are ongoing, there is no treatment so far that effectively cures or prevents COVID-19 infection. Hence, prevention is the key and the host preparation to combat the virus is a very important strategy to avoid COVID-19 severity. Healthy dietary habits are important not only to prevent but also for supporting the immune response during COVID-19, as well as in the post-acute phase, i.e., "long COVID", that is sometimes characterized by the onset of various long lasting and disabling symptoms.

## CONCLUSIONS

The findings of the present study highlight the potential protective role of the MDP in the development of severe COVID-19 symptoms. Medical community and public health agencies should promote adequate consumption of olive oil and vegetables, and a limited ingestion of commercial pastry, red and processed meat, fats (butter/cream/margarine) and carbonated/ sugar-sweetened drinks.

# **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None of the authors reported a conflict of interest.

### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

VC: Was the principal investigator; VC, LC and SA: Contributed to study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of data; LC: Was involved in drafting the manuscript; MR: contributed to the statistical analysis and critical review. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, Chen YM, Wang W, Song ZG, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature. 2020;579(7798):265–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3.

- 2. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. nature. 2020;579(7798):270–3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7.
- 3. Jebril N. World Health Organization declared a pandemic public health menace: a systematic review of the coronavirus disease 2019 "COVID-19". 2020. https://www.psychosocial.com/article/PR290311/25748/. Available at SSRN: http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3566298.
- 4. Palmer K, Monaco A, Kivipelto M, Onder G, Maggi S, Michel JP, et al. The potential long-term impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on patients with non-communicable diseases in Europe: consequences for healthy ageing. Aging clinical and experimental research. 2020;32(7):1189–94. doi:10.1007/s40520-020-01601-4.
- 5. Pan XF, Yang J, Wen Y, Li N, Chen S, Pan A. Non-Communicable Diseases During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond. Engineering (Beijing). julho de 2021;7(7):899–902. doi: 10.1016/j.eng.2021.02.013.
- Nikoloski Z, Alqunaibet AM, Alfawaz RA, Almudarra SS, Herbst CH, El-Saharty S, et al. Covid-19 and non-communicable diseases: evidence from a systematic literature review. BMC Public Health. 5 de junho de 2021;21(1):1068. doi:10.1186/ s12889-021-11116-w.
- 7. Vestergaard LS, Nielsen J, Richter L, Schmid D, Bustos N, Braeye T, et al. Excess all-cause mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe preliminary pooled estimates from the EuroMOMO network, March to April 2020. Euro Surveill. 2 de julho de 2020;25(26):2001214. doi:10.2807/15607917.ES.2020.25.26.2001214.
- 8. Gao YD, Ding M, Dong X, Zhang JJ, Kursat Azkur A, Azkur D, et al. Risk factors for severe and critically ill COVID-19 patients: A review. Allergy. fevereiro de 2021;76(2):428–55. doi: 10.1111/all.14657.
- 9. Mirzay-Razaz J, Hassanghomi M, Ajami M, Koochakpoor G, Hosseini-Esfahani F, Mirmiran P. Effective food hygiene principles and dietary intakes to reinforce the immune system for prevention of COVID-19: a systematic review. BMC Nutr. 3 de junho de 2022;8(1):53. doi: 10.1186/s40795-022-00546-3.
- 10. Cámara M, Sánchez-Mata MC, Fernández-Ruiz V, Cámara RM, Cebadera E, Domínguez L. A Review of the Role of Micronutrients and Bioactive Compounds on Immune System Supporting to Fight against the COVID-19 Disease. Foods. maio de 2021;10(5):1088. doi: 10.3390/foods10051088.
- 11. Iddir M, Brito A, Dingeo G, Fernandez Del Campo SS, Samouda H, La Frano MR, et al. Strengthening the Immune System and Reducing Inflammation and Oxidative Stress through Diet and Nutrition: Considerations during the COVID-19 Crisis. Nutrients. junho de 2020;12(6):1562. doi: 10.3390/nu12061562.
- 12. Davis C, Bryan J, Hodgson J, Murphy K. Definition of the Mediterranean diet; a literature review. Nutrients. 2015;7(11):9139–53. doi:10.3390/nu7115459.
- 13. Willett WC, Sacks F, Trichopoulou A, Drescher G, Ferro-Luzzi A, Helsing E, et al. Mediterranean diet pyramid: a cultural model for healthy eating. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 1995;61(6):1402S-1406S. doi:10.1093/ajcn/61.6.1402S.
- 14. Pérez-Martínez P, García-Ríos A, Delgado-Lista J, Pérez-Jiménez F, López-MirandaJ. Mediterranean diet rich in olive oil and obesity, metabolic syndrome and diabetes
- mellitus. Curr Pharm Des. 2011;17(8):769-77. doi:10.2174/138161211795428948.

  15. Bach-Faig A, Berry EM, Lairon D, Reguant J, Trichopoulou A, Dernini S, et al.
- Mediterranean diet pyramid today. Science and cultural updates. Public Health Nutr. dezembro de 2011;14(12A):2274–84. doi:10.1017/S1368980011002515.

  16. Trujillo-Mayol I, Guerra-Valle M, Casas-Forero N, Sobral MMC, Viegas O, Alarcón-
- Enos J, et al. Western dietary pattern antioxidant intakes and oxidative stress: importance during the SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 pandemic. Advances in Nutrition. 2021;12(3):670–81. https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmaa171.
- 17. de Faria Coelho-Ravagnani C, Corgosinho FC, Sanches FLFZ, Prado CMM, Laviano A, Mota JF. Dietary recommendations during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nutrition Reviews [Internet]. 12 de julho de 2020 [citado 7 de fevereiro de 2021];(nuaa067). Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuaa067.
- 18. Zabetakis I, Lordan R, Norton C, Tsoupras A. COVID-19: The Inflammation Link and the Role of Nutrition in Potential Mitigation. Nutrients. 19 de maio de 2020;12(5). doi: 10.3390/nu12051466.
- 19. Aljadani H. Impact of Different Dietary Patterns and Micronutrients on the

- Immune System and COVID-19 Infection. Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science Journal. 16 de abril de 2021;9(1):127–38. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.12944/CRNFS.I.9.1.13.
- 20. Detopoulou P, Demopoulos CA, Antonopoulou S. Micronutrients, Phytochemicals and Mediterranean Diet: A Potential Protective Role against COVID-19 through Modulation of PAF Actions and Metabolism. Nutrients. 2021;13(2):462. doi: 10.3390/nu13020462.
- 21. Zargarzadeh N, Tadbir Vajargah K, Ebrahimzadeh A, Mousavi SM, Khodaveisi H, Akhgarjand C, et al. Higher Adherence to the Mediterranean Dietary Pattern Is Inversely Associated With Severity of COVID-19 and Related Symptoms: A Cross-Sectional Study. Front Med (Lausanne). 2022;9:911273. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.911273.
- 22. Costa L, Anjos S, Henriques E, Cristóvão V. Associação entre Adesão à Dieta Mediterrânea e sintomas COVID-19. ATA Portuguesa de Nutrição. 2022:29; p40-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.21011/apn.2022.2907.
- 23. World Health Organization. Regional Office for the Western Pacific. The Asia-Pacific perspective: redefining obesity and its treatment. 2000. Sydney: Health Communications Australia. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/206936.
- 24. Gregório MJ, Rodrigues AM, Salvador C, Dias SS, de Sousa RD, Mendes JM, et al. Validation of the Telephone-Administered Version of the Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener (MEDAS) Questionnaire. Nutrients 2020;12(5):1511. doi: 10.3390/nu12051511.
- 25. WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) [Internet]. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19). [citado 8 de abril de 2021]. Disponível em: https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-covid-19.
- 26. DGS. COVID-19: Abordagem do Doente com Suspeita ou Confirmação de COVID-19. Norma 004/2020 de 23/03/2020, atualizada a 14/10/2020.
- 27. Ma Q, Liu J, Liu Q, Kang L, Liu R, Jing W, et al. Global Percentage of Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections Among the Tested Population and Individuals With Confirmed COVID-19 Diagnosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Network Open. 2021;4(12):e2137257. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.37257.
- 28. Gregório MJ Sousa SM, Chkoniya V, Graça P. Estudo de adesão ao padrão alimentar Mediterrânico. Direção-Geral da Saúde. Programa Nacional de Promoção da Alimentação Saudável e Segura. 2020. https://nutrimento.pt/activeapp/wpcontent/uploads/2020/10/Estudo-de-adesa%CC%83o-ao-padra%CC%83o-alimentar-mediterra%CC%82nico.pdf.
- 29. Inquérito Alimentar Nacional e de Atividade Física, IAN-AF 2015-2016: Relatório de resultados. Universidade do Porto. SBN: 978-989-746-181-1. Disponível em: www.ian-af.up.pt. [Internet]. 2017 [citado 14 de janeiro de 2020]. Disponível em: https://ian-af.up.pt/.
- 30. Costa L, Henriques E, Rodrigues M, Esmeraldo T. Composição corporal e hábitos alimentares da população adulta da Região Autónoma da Madeira. Acta Portuguesa de Nutrição. dezembro de 2019;(19):24–8.
- 31. Costa L, Henriques E, Esmeraldo T. Alteração da Alimentação e Atividade Física em contenção social: experiência da Região Autónoma da Madeira. Acta Portuguesa de Nutrição. 2021;(24):6–10. https://dx.doi.org/10.21011/apn.2021.2402.
- 32. Pereira NL, Ahmad F, Byku M, Cummins NW, Morris AA, Owens A, et al. COVID-19: Understanding Inter-Individual Variability and Implications for Precision Medicine. Mayo Clin Proc. 2021;96(2):446–63. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.11.024. 33. Perez-Araluce R, Martínez-González MÁ, Gea A, Carlos S. Components of the Mediterranean Diet and Risk of COVID-19. Front Nutr. 2022;8:805533. doi:10.3389/
- 34. Abdulah DM, Hassan AB. Relation of Dietary Factors with Infection and Mortality Rates of COVID-19 Across the World. J Nutr Health Aging. 2020;1–8. doi: 10.1007/s12603-020-1434-0.

fnut.2021.805533.

- 35. Vu THT, Rydland KJ, Achenbach CJ, Van Horn L, Cornelis MC. Dietary Behaviors and Incident COVID-19 in the UK Biobank. Nutrients. junho de 2021;13(6):2114.
- 36. Wallace TC, Bailey RL, Blumberg JB, Burton-Freeman B, Chen CYO, Crowe-White KM, et al. Fruits, vegetables, and health: A comprehensive narrative, umbrella review of the science and recommendations for enhanced public policy to improve intake. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2020;60(13):2174–211.

- 37. Mohajeri M, Mohajery R, Cicero AFG. Adherence to the Mediterranean Diet Association with Serum Inflammatory Factors Stress Oxidative and Appetite in COVID-19 Patients. Medicina. fevereiro de 2023;59(2):227. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina59020227.
- 38. Sattar N, Valabhji J. Obesity as a Risk Factor for Severe COVID-19: Summary of the Best Evidence and Implications for Health Care. Curr Obes Rep. 2021;10(3):282–9. doi: 10.1007/s13679-021-00448-8.
- 39. Tsukagoshi H, Shinoda D, Saito M, Okayama K, Sada M, Kimura H, et al. Relationships between Viral Load and the Clinical Course of COVID-19. Viruses. fevereiro de 2021;13(2):304.
- 40. Marks M, Millat-Martinez P, Ouchi D, Roberts Ch, Alemany A, Corbacho-Monné M, et al. Transmission of COVID-19 in 282 clusters in Catalonia, Spain: a cohort study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 1 de maio de 2021;21(5):629–36. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30985-3.
- 41. García-Conesa MT, Philippou E, Pafilas C, Massaro M, Quarta S, Andrade V, et al. Exploring the validity of the 14-item mediterranean diet adherence screener (medas): A cross-national study in seven european countries around the mediterranean region. Nutrients. 2020;12(10):2960. doi:10.3390/nu12102960.